

determine MCFs. If no data are available, as a default, use 0 for *aerobic* systems, and 1.0 for *anaerobic*.<sup>4</sup>

Since aerobic and anaerobic handling are the only handling systems considered, the  $CH_4$  conversion rate can be used to characterise a broad range of systems falling between aerobic and anaerobic handling systems.

Equation 10 presents the emission factor calculation for wastewater:

# **EQUATION 10**

$$EF_i = B_{oi} \times \sum (WS_{ix} \times MCF_x)$$

where:

EF<sub>i</sub> = emission factor (kg CH<sub>4</sub> /kg DC) for wastewater type (e.g., fertiliser industry, domestic, etc.)

 $B_{oi}$  = maximum methane producing capacity (kg  $CH_4$ /kg DC) for wastewater type i

 $WS_{ix}$  = fraction of wastewater type i treated using wastewater handling system x

 $MCF_x$  = methane conversion factors of each wastewater system x

Equation 11 presents the emission factor calculation for sludge:

### **EQUATION II**

$$EF_j = B_{oj} \times \sum (SS_{jy} \times MCF_y)$$

where:

EF<sub>j</sub> = emission factor (kg CH<sub>4</sub> /kg DC) for sludge type j (e.g., fertiliser industry wastewater, domestic wastewater, etc.)

 $B_{oj}$  = maximum methane producing capacity (kg  $CH_4$ /kg DC) for sludge type j

SS<sub>iv</sub> = fraction of sludge type j treated using sludge handling system y

MCF<sub>y</sub> = methane conversion factors of each sludge handling system y (See footnote 4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If sludge is disposed of in landfills then the resulting emissions are already accounted for in the IPCC/OECD SWDS emission methodology (Section 6.2.4). If sludge is incinerated or burned as part of an energy recovery system, then the resulting emissions should be reported for in the Energy Chapter, classified as an industrial waste fuel. In these cases, to ensure that emissions are not counted twice an "MCF" of zero should be used in this methodology for sludge disposed in SWDSs or incinerated, or burned as part of an energy recovery system. In all other cases, an appropriate MCF value should be selected based on the specific characteristics of the system used to dispose of the sludge.

#### Step 3 - Wastewater Emissions

To estimate total emissions from wastewater, the selected emissions factors are multiplied by the associated organic wastewater production and summed. Subtract the amount of CH<sub>4</sub>, if any, that is recovered and thus not emitted into the atmosphere for each handling method. If no data are readily available, the default assumption is that this amount is zero. Sum the results for each handling method to determine total CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from wastewater. In equation form, the estimate of total CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from wastewater handling is as follows:

#### **EQUATION 12**

 $WM = \Sigma_i (TOW_i \times EF_i - MR_i)$ 

where:

WM total methane emissions from wastewater in kg CH<sub>4</sub>

TOW<sub>i</sub> total organic waste for wastewater type i in kg DC/yr. For domestic streams, the DC is BOD; for industrial streams it is the COD (Step

EF, emission factor for wastewater type i in kg CH<sub>4</sub>/kg DC (Step 2)

MR<sub>i</sub> total amount of methane recovered or flared from wastewater type i

in kg CH4. If no data are available, use the default value of zero

## Step 4 - Sludge Emissions

To estimate total emissions from sludge, the selected emissions factors are multiplied by the associated organic sludge production and summed. Subtract the amount of CH<sub>4</sub>, if any, that is recovered and thus not emitted into the atmosphere for each handling method. If no data are readily available, the default assumption is that this amount is zero. Sum the results for each handling method to determine total CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from wastewater. In equation form, the estimate of total CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from sludge handling is as follows:

## **EQUATION 13**

 $SM = \sum_{i} (TOS_{i} \times EF_{j} - MR_{j})$ 

where:

SM total methane emissions from sludge in kg CH<sub>4</sub>

TOS<sub>i</sub> total organic waste for sludge type j in kg DC/yr. For domestic streams, the DC is BOD; for industrial streams it is COD (Step I)

 $EF_i$ emission factor for sludge type j in kg CH<sub>4</sub>/kg DC (Step 2)

 $MR_i$ total amount of methane recovered or flared from sludge type j in kg

CH<sub>4</sub>. If no data are available, the default is zero



#### Step 5 - Total Emissions

Total emissions from wastewater and sludge can be determined by summing the results of Steps 3 and 4. This is expressed as follows in Equation 14:

EQUATION 14	
TM = WM + SM	

where:

TM = total methane from wastewater and sludge handling in kg CH<sub>4</sub>

WM = total methane emissions from wastewater in kg CH<sub>4</sub>

SM = total methane emissions from sludge in kg CH<sub>4</sub>

# 6.3.6 Uncertainties

The quality of  $CH_4$  emissions estimates for wastewater handling is directly related to the quality and availability of the waste management data used to derive these estimates. Country specific data on wastewater quantities, characteristics, and wastewater management methods are very limited. The principal sources of uncertainty are described below.

#### **Organic Wastewater Quantity and Composition**

Often the amount of degradable organic wastewater that is produced and the volumes handled in the various systems is not well known. Consequently, limitations exist for quantifying the fraction of wastewater subject to specific systems.

## Physical and Chemical Data

Country-specific data on wastewater characteristics are very limited. For example, reported organic component values in industrial source categories are averages from several processes. Accurate and detailed data on the chemical characteristics and volumes of process wastewater streams could improve the emissions estimates.

#### Wastewater Handling Facility Efficiency and Output

Aerobically treated wastewater by handling plants may be subject to anaerobic conditions due to poorly managed and functioning facilities. This contributes to an underestimate of emissions. Additionally, current estimates from wastewater handling lagoons are relatively uncertain due to the limited available data. Work is on-going to develop better emission factors from these sources.

Table 6-5 Estimated BOD <sub>5</sub> Values in Domestic Wastewater by Region					
Region	BOD <sub>5</sub> Value (kg/cap/day)	BOD <sub>5</sub> Value (kg/1000 persons/yr)			
Africa	0.037	13,505			
Asia, Middle East, Latin America	0.04	14,600			
N. America, Europe, Former USSR, Oceania	0.05	18,250			
Source: IPCC (1994)					

Table 6-6 Industrial Wastewater Data by Region				
Industry Type and Region	Wastewater Produced (m <sup>3</sup> /tonnes of product)	COD Value (kg COD/m <sup>3</sup> wastewater)	Country	
Beverage - Distilled & Industry				
Generic - ethanol	13 m <sup>3</sup> / m <sup>3</sup> ethanol	40		
Generic - ethanol	NAV	5,000 kg/ m³ ethanol		
South America	NAV	22	Brazil	
Western Europe	NAV	4.0 - 5.0	Netherlands	
Beverage - Malt & Beer				
Generic	5 m <sup>3</sup> / m <sup>3</sup> beer	17		
Generic	5-9 m <sup>3</sup> / m <sup>3</sup> beer	2.0 - 7.0		
Western Europe	NAV	1.0 - 1.5	Netherlands	
Food - Meat & Poultry				
Generic	1.4 m <sup>3</sup> /animal	NAV		
Western Europe	NAV	2.9	Netherlands	
North America	NAV	15.0	USA	
Food - Fish				
North America	NAV	2.5	USA	
Food - Coffee				
North America	NAV	3.0 - 14.0	USA	
Food - Dairy Products				
Generic	2.8	NAV		
Western Europe	NAV	1.5	Netherlands	
Food - Fruits & Vegetables				
Generic (cannery)	26	NAV		
Generic Tomato processing	26	NAV		
North America, potatoes	NAV	3.0	USA	
Western Europe, bean blanching	NAV	5.2	Netherlands	
Western Europe, sauerkraut	NAV	10.0 - 20.0	Netherlands	
Food - Oils				
Generic - Vegetable oil	1.6	0.3		
Middle East	NAV	42	Turkey	
Asia	NAV	25	Malaysia	
Food - Sugar			,	
Central America (cane)	NAV	98	Mexico	
Iron And Steel				
South America	0.1	NAV	Brazil	
Organic Chemicals				
Western Europe	NAV	20- 40	Netherlands	
Pharmaceuticals				
Middle East	NAV	1.3	Egypt	
3.0 = 4000	1		-6/ F ·	



Table 6-6 (Continued) Industrial Wastewater Data by Region				
Industry Type and Region	Wastewater Produced (m³/tonnes of product)	COD Value (kg COD/m <sup>3</sup> wastewater)	Country	
Starch				
Generic, potato starch	NAV	4.0 - 16		
Generic, wheat starch	NAV	2.0 - 42		
Generic, corn starch	NAV	10		
Petroleum Production				
North America	NAV	0.3 -0.4	USA	
North America	NAV	1.8	Canada	
Pulp & Paper				
Generic (pulp)	58	2.0 - 15		
North America pulp mill	140	NAV	USA	
Generic (paper)	NAV	2.0 - 8.0		
North America (virgin paper)	97	1.6	USA	
North America (recycled paper)	44	3.0	USA	
Western Europe (paper)	NAV	1.0 - 3.0	Netherlands	
Textiles				
Rayon	501	NAV		
Greece	NAV	0.09		
North America, textile mills	NAV	1.0	USA	
Leather Tanning				
North America, generic	NAV	5.8	USA	

Source: Doorn and Eklund (1995). For a detailed list of references for each wastewater category, see Doorn and Eklund (1995). Wastewater production of COD values are not available (NAV) for every country and region. Research is ongoing to develop wastewater production and COD values for these countries and regions. Note that these data are currently undergoing revision and updating.

Table 6-7 Domestic Wastewater Treatment Emissions Factor Derivation Data				
Region	Type of Treatment	Fraction of Wastewater Treated (%)	MCF (%)	
Africa				
Kenya	Lagoons	50	NAV	
Tunisia	Lagoons	20	NAV	
Zimbabwe	Activated Sludge	50	NAV	
Other Africa	Lagoons	5	80	
Asia				
Indonesia	not specified	l l	NAV	
Singapore	not specified	1	NAV	
South Korea	not specified	l l	NAV	
Taiwan	not specified	1	NAV	
Other Asia	not specified	5	75	
Latin America And Caribbean	not specified	10	80	
Australia And New Zealand	not specified	80	70	

Source: Doorn and Eklund (1995). For a detailed list of references for each region, see Doorn and Eklund (1995). Methane correction factor (MCF) data are not available (NAV) for some countries and regions. Research is ongoing to provide MCF estimates for these countries and regions. Note that these data are currently undergoing revision and updating.

Table 6-8 Industrial Wastewater Treatment Emissions Factor Derivation						
Region	Type of Industry	Type of Treatment	Fraction of Wastewater Treated (%)	MCF (%)		
Africa						
Kenya	textiles	Lagoons	60	NAV		
Kenya	coffee production	Lagoons	Lagoons 5			
Other Africa	All	Lagoons	10	90		
Asia						
Indonesia	All	not specified	10	NAV		
Malaysia	palm oil	not specified	90	NAV		
Singapore	All	not specified	10	NAV		
South Korea	All	not specified	10	NAV		
Taiwan	All	not specified	10	NAV		
Thailand	breweries	activated sludge	50	NAV		
Other Asia	All	not specified	20	90		
North America						
Canada	All	not specified 90		70		
USA	All	not specified	90	70		
Latin America & Caribbean	All	not specified	20	90		
Australia & New Zealand	All	not specified	95	70		

Source: Doorn and Eklund (1995). For a detailed list of references for each region, see Doorn and Eklund (1995). Methane correction factor (MCF) data are not available (NAV) for some countries and regions. Research is ongoing to provide MCF estimates for these countries and regions. Note that these data are currently undergoing revision and updating.



Table 6-9 Unspecified Wastewater Type Emissions Factor Derivation Data				
Region	Type of Treatment	Fraction of Wastewater Treated (%)	MCF (%)	
Africa				
South Africa	not specified	10	NAV	
Asia				
Afghanistan	not specified	ı	NAV	
Latin America And Caribbean	•			
Colombia	Lagoons	3	NAV	
Argentina	Lagoons	3	NAV	
Europe	030			
Albania	not specified	1-92	NAV	
Austria	not specified	65	NAV	
Belgium	not specified	85	NAV	
Bulgaria	not specified	10-100	NAV	
Belarus	not specified	10-100	NAV	
Croatja	not specified	57	NAV	
Czech Rep	not specified	10-5	NAV	
Denmark	not specified	90	NAV	
Estonia	not specified	10-80	NAV	
Finland	not specified	68	NAV	
France	not specified	50-85	NAV	
Germany	not specified	90	NAV	
Hungary	not specified	44	NAV	
Ireland	not specified	66	NAV	
Italy	not specified	92	NAV	
Latvia	not specified	10-80	NAV	
Lithuania	not specified	10-80	NAV	
Moldavia	not specified	10-80	NAV	
Netherlands	not specified	90	NAV	
Norway	not specified	94	NAV	
Poland	not specified	10-50	NAV	
Portugal	not specified	42	NAV	
Romania	not specified	10-46	NAV	
Russia	not specified	10-80	NAV	
Serbia	not specified	57	NAV	
Slovenia	not specified	87	NAV	
Spain	not specified	67	NAV	
Sweden	not specified	98	NAV	
Switzerland	not specified	88	NAV	
Turkey	not specified	38	NAV	
Ukraine	not specified	10-80	NAV	
United Kingdom	not specified	90	NAV	
Slovakia	not specified	10-65	NAV	

Source: Doorn and Eklund (1995). Methane correction factor (MCF) data are not available (NAV). Research is ongoing to provide MCF estimates for these and other wastewater treatment systems. Note that these data are currently undergoing revision and updating.

# 6.4 Nitrous Oxide from Human Sewage

Since  $N_2O$  emissions from human sewage are closely linked to the agricultural N cycle, the method is further discussed in the Agriculture Chapter. For a detailed description of the proposed methodology, the reader is referred to Section 4.5.4 (on indirect  $N_2O$  emissions from nitrogen used in agriculture).

The emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O from human sewage are calculated as follows:

# **EQUATION 15**

 $N_2O_{(S)}$  = Protein x Frac<sub>NPR</sub> x  $NR_{PEOPLE}$  x  $EF_6$ 

where.

 $N_2O_{(s)}$  =  $N_2O$  emissions from human sewage (kg  $N_2O$ -N/yr)

Protein = annual per capita protein intake (kg/person/yr)

 $NR_{PEOPLE}$  = number of people in country

 $EF_6$  = emissions factor (default 0.01 (0.002-0.12) kg  $N_2O$ -N/kg sewage-

N produced) (See Table 4-18 in Agriculture Chapter)

Frac<sub>NPR</sub> = fraction of nitrogen in protein (default = 0.16 kg N/kg protein)

(See Table 4-19 in Agriculture Chapter)

# 6.5 Emissions from Waste Incineration

## 6.5.1 Introduction

Waste incineration like other types of combustion, is a source of GHG emissions. Few data have been compiled on the global emissions from waste incineration. Preliminary indicators are that this source represents a small percentage of the total GHG output from the waste source category.

#### 6.5.2 Emissions

Certainly waste incineration produces  $CO_2$ , but it is difficult to identify the portion which should be considered **net** emissions. A large fraction of the carbon in waste combusted (e.g., paper, food waste) is derived from biomass raw materials which are replaced by regrowth on an annual basis. These emissions should not be considered net anthropogenic  $CO_2$  emissions in the IPCC Methodology. If the agricultural or forestry sources are not being sustainably managed, net  $CO_2$  emissions (equivalent to reductions in biomass stocks) should be accounted for in those source categories. On the other hand, some carbon in waste is in the form of plastics or other products based on fossil fuel. Combustion of these materials, like fossil fuel combustion, releases net  $CO_2$  emissions. In estimating emissions from waste incineration, the desired approach is to separate carbon in the incinerated waste into biomass and fossil fuel based fractions. Only the fossil based portion should be considered net carbon emissions. Any such detailed analysis should ensure that carbon emissions are not double counted in the treatment of stored carbon under energy emissions. See Overview to the IPCC Guidelines.



A recent Belgian analysis (Debruyn and Van Rensbergen, 1994) offers an example of a very detailed approach.

Other relevant gases released from combustion are net GHG emissions. Methane emissions from waste incineration are highly uncertain. An expert working group recognised waste incineration as a source of methane production, but was not able to give global estimates or default emissions factors. Although this source is considered to be relatively small compared to the other CH<sub>4</sub> sources in waste, it was recognised as an area for further research in the future (Berdowski et al., 1993).

Recent studies have also shown that N2O may be an important GHG produced from incineration. Table 6-10 provides data from studies of several incineration plants and the N<sub>2</sub>O produced from the waste incineration (de Soete, 1993). Studies in Belgium (IPCC, 1993), Japan (Tanaka et al., 1992) and Norway (Rosland, 1993) have estimated  $N_2O$ production from their waste incineration processes. It has also been found that the emission level depends on the nature of the waste burned. Research in Japan has noted that while all types of incineration produce N2O, sludge incinerators produce the highest emissions rates (Tanaka et al., 1992).

Traditional air pollutants from combustion - NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, NMVOC - are characterised in existing emissions inventory systems. The IPCC does not provide a new methodology for these gases, but recommends that national experts use existing published methods. Some key examples of the current literature providing methods are: Default Emission Factor Handbook (CORINAIR, 1994), as well as the US EPA's Compilation of Air Pollutant Emissions Factors (AP-42) (US EPA, 1985) and Criteria Pollutant Emission Factors for the 1985 NAPAP Emissions Inventory (Stockton and Stelling, 1987).

Table 6-10 Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Waste Incineration							
				N <sub>2</sub> O Emission			
Nature of Waste (reference)	Facility	T°C	ppmv <sup>a</sup> min.	ppmv <sup>a</sup> average	ppmv <sup>a</sup> max.	O <sub>2</sub> (%)	g N <sub>2</sub> O / tonne waste
Municipal refuse	10 furnaces (65-300 tonnes/day)		1.2	8	18		
Municipal refuse	Stepgrate	780-880	0.8		4.9	10	11-43
	Stepgrate	780-980	4		24	8-14	40-220
	Fluid. bed	830-850	6.7		10.5	13-15	14-123
Municipal solid waste	5 stokers (20-400 tonnes/day)		3	7	12		26-270
	3 Fluid. bed		5.6	9.8	17.1		97-293
	rot. koln (120 tonnes/day)		10.2	11.1	12.1		35-165
Sewage-sludge	4 incin. (150-300 tonnes/day)		57	87	125		
Sludge	Rotary grate	750		50.7			227
	Fluid. bed	770-812	270		600		580-1528
	Fluid. bed	838-854	135		292		684-1508
	Fluid. bed	834-844	100		320		275-886
	Fluid. bed	853-887	45		145		101-307
Source: de Soete, 1993.							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

a ppmv = parts per million by volume

# 6.6 References

- Aitchison, E.M, M.P. Meadows, M.J. Wenborn, I.T. Marlowe, M. Mikkelson, M. Milton, C. Harries and R. Pocock (1996) "A Methodology for Updating Routinely the Annual Estimate of Methane Emissions from Landfill Sites in the UK. "ETSU, AEA Technology, Report RYWA/18678001/R4.
- Berdowski, J.J.M., L. Beck, S. Piccot, J.G.J. Oliver and C. Veldt (1993), "Working Group Report: Methane emissions from fuel combustion and industrial processes." In: Proceedings of an International IPCC Workshop on Methane and Nitrous Oxide: Methods in National Emissions Inventories and Options for Control. A.R. van Amstel (ed.), RIVM Report No. 481507003, Bilthoven, The Netherlands, pp. 231-237.
- Bingemer, H.G. and P.J. Crutzen (1987), "The production of methane from solid wastes." Journal of Geophysical Research, 92 (D2): 2181-2187.
- CORINAIR, 1994. Technical Annexes Volume 2 Default emission factors handbook EUR Report 12586, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg. (Originally published in 1992 by EEATF.)
- Debruyn W. and J. Van Rensbergen (1994), Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Municipal and Industrial Wastes, ENE.RA9410, VITO, Energy Division, Belgium.
- de Soete, G. (1993), "Nitrous oxide from combustion and industry: chemistry, emissions, and control", pp. 324-325. Working Group Report: Methane Emissions from Biomass Burning. In: Proceedings of an International IPCC Workshop on Methane and Nitrous Oxide: Methods in National Emissions Inventories and Options for Control. A.R. van Amstel (ed.), RIVM Report No. 481507003, Bilthoven, The Netherlands.
- Doorn, M. and M.A. Barlaz (1995), Estimate of Global Methane Emissions from Landfills and Open Dumps. Prepared for US EPA Office of Research and Development, February 1995, EPA-600/R-95-019.
- Doorn, M. and B. Eklund (1995), Greenhouse Gases from Wastewater Treatment: Collection and Review of Country-Specific Data and Preliminary Emission Models. Prepared for US EPA Office of Research and Development,
- EMEP/CORINAIR (1996), Joint Atmospheric Emission Inventory Guidebook (1st edition).
- Environment Canada (1992), Canada's Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Estimates for 1990. Report EPS 5/AP/4.
- Gloyna, E.F. (1971), Waste Stabilization Ponds. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- IPCC (1992), Climate Change 1992. The Supplementary Report to the IPCC Scientific Assessment. (J.T. Houghton, G.J. Jenkins and J.J. Ephraums (eds)). Published for The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), World Meteorological Organization/United Nations Environment Programme, Cambridge University Press, UK.
- IPCC (1993), Parts I & II: National GHG Inventories: Transparency in Estimation and Reporting. Part III: Preliminary IPCC National GHG Inventories: In-Depth Review. Prepared by The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, World Meteorological Organization/United Nations Environment Programme.
- IPCC (1994), "IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, 3 Volumes: Volume 1, Reporting Instructions; Volume 2, Workbook; Volume 3, Draft Reference Manual." Intergovernmental Panel of Climate Change.



- IPCC (1995), "IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, 3 Volumes: Volume 1, Reporting Instructions; Volume 2, Workbook; Volume 3, Reference Manual." Intergovernmental Panel of Climate Change.
- IPCC (1996), Climate Change 1995. The Science of Climate Change. (J.T. Houghton, L.G. Meira Filho, B.A. Callander, N. Harris, A. Kattenberg and K. Maskell (eds)). Published for The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), World Meteorological Organization/United Nations Environment Programme, Cambridge University Press, UK.
- LANDTEC (1994), "Coursewares" material provided at Landfill Gas System Engineering Design Seminars.
- Lexmond M.J. and G. Zeeman (1995), Potential of Uncontrolled Anaerobic Wastewater Treatment in Order to Reduce Global Emissions of the Greenhouse Gases Methane and Carbon Dioxide, Department of Environmental Technology, Agricultural University of Wageningen, Wageningen, The Netherlands. Report No. 95-1.
- OECD (1995), OECD Environmental Data Compendium 1995. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Rosland, A. (1993), Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Norway: Inventories and Estimation Methods, pp.14. Prepared for the Norwegian Ministry of the Environment, Oslo, Norway.
- Stockton, M.B. and J.H.E. Stelling (1987), Criteria Pollutant Emission Factors for the 1985 NAPAP Emissions Inventory. US EPA Washington, Ouverage, EPA-600/7-87-015 XV-211.
- Tabasaran, O. (1981), "Gas production from landfill". In Household Waste Management in Europe, Economics and Techniques, A.V. Bridgewater and Lidgren K. (eds.), Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, USA, pp. 159-175.
- Tanaka, M., M. Miyazaki and I. Watanabe (1992), "CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O Emission from Waste Disposal Facilities in Japan", pp. 18-19. Presented at the *CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O Workshop* held by the National Institute of Agro-Environmental Sciences (NIAES), Tsukuba, Japan, March 25-26,1992.
- UK department of the Environment (1993), An Assessment of Methane Emissions from UK landfills. UK DoE report CWM 063/93.
- US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (1985), Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors (Fourth Edition), Volume I: "Stationary Point and Area Sources." EPA-AP-42 (GPO 055-000-00251-7), Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA.
- US Environmental Protection Agency (1991), Air Emissions from Municipal Solid Waste Landfills Background Information for Proposed Standards and Guidelines. EPA-450/3-90-011a, US EPA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA.
- US Environmental Protection Agency (1994), International Anthropogenic Methane Emissions Report to Congress. EPA Office of Policy, Planning and Evaluation, EPA 230-R-93-010.
- US Environmental Protection Agency (1995), Section 2.4, Landfills, in *Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Volume 1: Stationary Point and Area Sources*, Fifth Edition, AP-42. US EPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA.

- Van Amstel, A.R., R.J. Swart, M.S. Krol, J.P. Beck, A.F. Bouwman and K.W. Van der Hoek (1993), Methane, the Other Greenhouse Gas.. Research and policy in the Netherlands. RIVM Report No. 481507001.
- Westlake, K. (1990), "Landfill microbiology". In *Proceedings of the International Conference:* Landfill Gas: Energy and Environment '90. Bournemouth, UK.